Downloaded from: **justpaste.it/tl8l**

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم الحمد لله القوي المتين والصلاة والسلام على من بعث بالسيف رحمة للعالمين

أما بعد

"Say the Truth Has Come and Falsehood Has Perished"

By Mustafa al Iraqi

To my brothers & sisters who supports Jihad fi sabilLillah as the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) described it: "The one who fights so that the word of Allah be exalted fights in the way of Allah" [Agreed upon], & Allah says: "And fight them until there is no fitnah and [until] the religion, all of it, is for Allah" [8:39] Meaning the one who fights so that the Sharia of Allah is implemented, enjoining what is Good with Tawhid at its peek & forbids what is Evil with Shirk at its bottom, to Judge by what Allah revealed & to execute His Laws in His land as the Exalted said "And Allah will surely support those who support Him. Indeed, Allah is Powerful and Exalted in Might. [And they are] those who, if We give them authority in the land, establish prayer and give zakah and enjoin what is right and forbid what is wrong. And to Allah belongs the outcome of [all] matters." [22:40-41]. "Those who support Him" means those who support His religion by implementing it in His Earth.

To my brothers & sisters who makes takfir on Taghut "They wish to refer legislation to Taghut, while they were commanded to reject it" [4:60] & So whoever disbelieves in Taghut and believes in Allah has grasped the most trustworthy handhold with no break in it. [2:256] & "Worship Allah and avoid Taghut." [16:36] & with Taghut i firstly mean every Taghut, but specifically I mean ALL the Arab rulers who replaced parts of the Sharia with Man-made laws, made that which is Haram of which there is no ikhtilaf about such as alcohol, interest & so on & made it Halal & replaced the punishments of Allah with western Laws. From Al-Saud to Mursi to Erdogan to the Gulf States.

Allah azza wa jal said: "say, "Truth has come, and falsehood has departed. Indeed is falsehood, [by nature], ever bound to depart." [17:81]

Over the past two years since the Sahwat began in Sham i've observed/interacted people & saw that there exists two kinds of people who oppose the Khilafah:

- ***The ignorant one & this is two of the same type a) Ignorant of the reality b) Ignorant about Islam & this can be of the smallest of matters as not knowing the definition of "Dar ul Harb".***

The first one has only heard about the Islamic State from their enemies & never heard from the Islamic State themselves. He knows nothing about the Sahwat, their names, their statements, their locations. He knows nothing about what happened the 3rd January, 2014. He heard that the Islamic State makes takfir, but he doesn't know why they did, he heard that the Islamic State kills people, but he doesn't know who these people are & what they did to deserve getting killed, he heard that the Islamic State is waging war against everyone when in reality the whole world was the one who began waging war against the State.

He heard that the Islamic State had Bay'ah to Al Qaeda & "broke it" but doesn't know the Taqiyyah & Hypocrisy of Al Qaeda about the same matter. This man is doing evil in his ignorance of the reality, by insulting the State & its soldiers & leaders, warning the people of it, inciting them against it & making it Halal to even spill their blood because he heard that someone said "They make takfir & kill Muslims just like Khawarij & the Prophet (ﷺ) said "I would kill them (khawarij) like the people of 'Aad". Allah Ta'ala says: "The repentance accepted by Allah is only for those who do wrong in ignorance [or carelessness] and then repent soon after. It is those to whom Allah will turn in forgiveness, and Allah is ever Knowing and Wise." [4:17].

He also heard someone saying that all the "scholars" unanimously is against the State & weighed the Truth on their opinions & desires & ignored the basic principle of the Salaf: "The truth is not known by men, and that the Jama'ah is those who conform to the truth even if it was only one person".

- ***The arrogant one who fell into the deep pit of irjaa'.***

This one knows about the reality of what happened the 3rd January, 2014. He knows of the statements of the sahwat factions & their support to the Arab Tawagheet & the leader of the Crusaders America & their war against Islam & the Muslims. He knows of their alliances with the Crusader coalition. He knows of their agreement to Democracy & Secularism, he knows of their acceptance of Democracy & Secularism (accepting kufr is the same as doing the act of kufr).

But in his arrogance & love for his Hizb & hate for the State he chose to let all these kufriyat to pass through his left ear & out of the right ear & Allah ta'ala says: O you who have believed, be persistently standing firm for Allah , witnesses in justice, and do not let the hatred of a people prevent you from being just. Be just; that is nearer to righteousness. And fear Allah ; indeed, Allah is Acquainted with what you do." [5:8].

He fell into irjaa' for ignoring clear cut kufr & those are of two types:

a) One who defended their kufr & said that all what they did was allowed.

b) One who accepted that it was kufr but didn't make takfir because of his deviation.

And the cause of the second type is of various of reasons: Arrogance, Hatred, Envy, Desires, Love. So one of them could say: "I do not make takfir on such & such group because my Sheikh hasen't made takfir yet". So he put his Akhira on one side of the scale & the opinions of his "sheikh" or the "ulema" or "Al Qaeda" on the other side of the scale & let the latter one outweigh & jeopardize his Akhira.

I write this article for the sake of Allah subhanahu ta'ala & I direct this message to those who are sincere with Allah first & then with themselves & their Akhira & are free from Hizbiyah. Those who doesn't let hate prevent them from being just in their judgement. Those who when they hear the truth says "We hear & obey" as Allah said: "And remember the favor of Allah upon you and His covenant with which He bound you when you said, "We hear and we obey"; and fear Allah. Indeed, Allah is Knowing of that within the breasts." [5:7] & don't be like the Jews who said: "We hear and disobey" [4:46].

I'll be speaking about & provide with evidence about the most normal doubts those who oppose the State & it will have to be from the beginning & that is:

- ***The claim that the State had bayah to the Organisation "Al-Qaeda" & their hypocrisy about it.***
- ***Not implementing Sharia like collecting Zakah, establish the Salah, executing the Hudod, enjoining what is Good & forbidding Evil in "Times of war".***
- ***The rise of the Sahwat & the doubts of their kufr while it has increased over the past two years.***

The Bayah

The Islamic State was created October 2006 after many groups of Mujahideen decided to merge & pledge allegiance to Shaykh Abu Omar al Baghdadi rahimahúllah as amir ul-mu'mineen.

Al Qaeda in Iraq who at that time was led by Shaykh Abu Hamza al-Muhajir rahimahúllah was not part of the creation of the State, they revoked their pledge of allegiance to Shaykh Usama rahimahúllah two months after the creation of the State & pledged allegiance to Shaykh Abu Omar because they are obliged by Sharia to do so. Allah says: "And hold firmly to the rope of Allah all together and do not become divided" [3:103]. We are obliged not to split the ranks & when a State is established, then those within the States borders are obliged to pledge to it, to support it & defend it. Al Qaeda supported this State & they supported the decision of Shaykh Abu Hamza who broke his pledge to them & pledged allegiance to the State to unify the Muslims & not split the ranks (Click here to more read about it from the mouth of Zawahiri & other Al Qaeda figures: https://ansarukhilafah.wordpress.com/2015/11/28/the-bayah-and-the-reality-of-th

e-conflict/)

The claim of Zawahiri is that the State had a secret Bayah to him through secret letters sent by the leaders of the State to Zawahiri & that Abu Bakr al Baghdadi hafidhahúllah had Bayah to Mullah Omar rahimahúllah. & the scandal of that claim is that when Zawahiri accused the State of breaking their Bayah to Mullah Omar & asked them to re-pledge, Mullah Omar rahimahúllah was already dead & thus the comment of Shaykh Adnani hafidhahúllah: A person who pledges allegiance to a dead man and calls the Ummah to pledge allegiance to a dead man, does such a person deserve a response? [Say to those who disbelieve, "You will be overcome"]

The "Jewish" hypocrisy of Al Qaeda

In the end of year 2015 Jowlani made an interview with 4 journalists, at the end of the interview he was asked:

"If the groups unite in Syria, will you dissolve from Al-Qaeda?" & Jowlani answered by quoting Zawahiri & said:

"Previously Zawahiri mentioned in the case of Syria being liberated & the Muslims uniting on an Islamic State that rules with the Sharia of Allah then we will be the first soldiers of that State, even Dr Ayman will be a soldier of that State."
(see: https://youtu.be/xXgeoFlUY8Y?t=3246s)

And that is what the Jews used to do, they used to say to others that what they are doing is impermissible while they themselves are doing it. Halal for them while its Haram for others. They made the "breaking of Bayah" of the State the biggest issue & even accused it of "splitting the ranks" when Jowlani & Zawahiri themselves split the ranks in April 2013 when Jowlani broke his bayah to Shaykh Baghdadi & pledged to Zawahiri who accepted it. & now they speak of breaking the Bayah themselves.

Implementing Sharia

The meaning of Allah's Shariah now has been distorted and restricted to the domain of the courts and arbitration committees. Through this, the people are being misled into believing that the law of Allah is there. Allah's law is not just a building called a "court"! The laws of Allah and His Shariah are more vast and more comprehensive than that. And the fitnah of this era is that the people have been misled into believing that the laws of Allah is not to be implemented in "times of war". They base this deviation on two things:

1) We are at war & our enemies has airplanes to conduct airstrikes on us, thus we have no full tamkeen (authority).

***Refutation**: Tamkeen is of two types:*

a). Tamkeen Mutlaq (Complete) [Absolute Authority]

b). Mutlaq Tamkeen (Partial) [foundation/origin/basis of Authority]

If Absolute Tamkeen was a condition to implement the Sharia or a condition for the validity of a State/Khilafah, then none of the previous States/Khilafah would have been valid, not even the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) & none of the previous States/Khilafah would have implemented the Sharia:

al-Imam al-Qurtubi (rahimahúllah) mentioned in his Tafsir a narration from Abu al-'Aliyah saying:
"The Messenger of Allah - (ﷺ) - stayed in Makkah for ten years in fear after the revelation descended upon him, where he and his companions called to Allah in secrecy and openly. Thereafter they were commanded with Hijra to al-Madinah where they were spending their days and nights in fear with their weapons. So a man said: O messenger of Allah, wouldn't a day come upon us wherein we are in security and put our weapons down? So the Prophet (ﷺ) said: "You would not tarry except for a little while, till a man from you would sit at ease in the midst of great gatherings with no weapons." And this aayah [24:55] was revealed. And Allah gave victory to his Prophet over the Arabian Peninsula, and they put their weapons down and were in safety." [Tafsir al-Qurtubi v12 – p297]

al-Imam Ibn Ishaq (rahimahúllah) said:
"With the death of the Messenger of Allah, the Arabs apostated, with the exception of the people of the two mosques: Makkah and al-Madinah!" [al-Bidayah wa an-Nihayah by ibn Kathir v8-p172]

Shaykh Usamah bin Laden - may Allah accept him - said:
"And if Absolute authority was a condition in the Establishment of an Islamic Emirate in this age, then no state would have been established for Islam" ["The way to foil conspiracies" – "as-Sabeel li Ihbaat al-Mu'aamaraat" from min;sec 33:33]

So Absolute/Full Tamkeen is an innovation as a condition to implement the Sharia/Validity of a State/Khilafah.

And number 2: "The Ulema have said that its allowed to delay the Hudod (punishments) at war"

***Refutation**: This is not correct. This misguidance is rooted from the single opinion of the Scholars of Islam whom the people of desire twisted & distorted. The Sahaba & Salaf & the whole Ummah are unanimously agreed that the Hudod is to be implemented in Dar ul Islam with no exceptions & excuses.*

Ibn Qudāmah said in Al-Mughni: "The Hudod are to be established in the frontier posts because they are a part of Dar ul Islam and we do not know of any difference of opinion on this. There is a need to prevent its people from committing sin similar to the need to

prevent others. 'Umar wrote a letter to Abu 'Ubaydah in Sham ordering him to whip whoever drank alcohol with 80 lashes and it was from the frontier posts". This was about the -frontier posts-, meaning the borders of Dar ul Islam. Anyone who controls land is obliged by Imaan to implement the Sharia from the borders & inside the borders.

The opinion of the Ulema that the people of desire twisted was that in Dar ul-Harb, you are allowed to -Delay- the Hadd (the punishment) until the guilty one goes back to Dar ul-Islam not delay it for 5 years & ongoing.

Dar ul-Harb literally means & translates into "Land of War". But the actual Islamic meaning of it is different as the Ulema have taught us:

Ibn Qudāmah said in Al-Mughni, "When the people of a land apostatize and their laws are executed, their land becomes Dar ul-Harb" & Al-Mardawi in Al-Insaf said, "Dar ul-Harb is where the laws of kufr have the upper hand"

The Murji'ah distorted the meaning of Dar ul-Harb to mean a land experiencing war when the term only refers to a land being ruled by kuffār even if the land is not experiencing war! The kuffar will always be at war with Islam as Allah ta'ala said: "And they will continue to fight you until they turn you back from your religion if they are able." [2:217] so are we supposed to delay the Hudod for eternity?

They may turn to events that happened to the Sahaba as an excuse for their misguidance such as 'Umar -may Allah be pleased with him- didn't cut the hands of the thieves in the drought/famine". That is true, cutting the hand of a thief has conditions, those conditions were not met during that time of hardship; but that didn't mean that 'Umar ignored collecting Zakat, or establishing the prayer, or enjoin what is Good & forbidding Evil, nor did he ignore the Hudod for other crimes such as adultery, drinking alcohol & Qisas, nor did he let the Muslims freely apostatize & pray to others than Allah without forcing them to repent or kill them. May Allah protect us from deviation & following our desires.

The Kufriyat of Sahwat

Firstly, those who oppose the State have a hypocritical stance about them opposing the States "shedding of innocent Muslim blood" while they ignore the massacre that happened against the State in the betrayal 3rd January, 2014.

What happened was not a coincidence, it didn't happen overnight as has been confirmed by Abdullah al-Othman, the political chief of Jabha Shammiyah in his article in "ForeignPolicy.com" where he wrote: "Our component groups began operations against IS in September 2013, almost a year before the international community. In early 2014, we formed a broad rebel coalition against IS and routed them from Aleppo, Syria's largest city and its pre-war commercial capital." He wrote this while pleading to America for more support to his group & the opposition sahwat: "But we have not received

meaningful lethal support from the United States, and we were barely receiving any support at all until two months ago." (read here: http://foreignpolicy.com/2016/02/17/fighting-for-aleppo-abandoned-by-the-world-islamic-state-levant-front-isis/)

So for over 4 months, from September 2013, they prepared to betray the State, they gathered, united & planned the 3rd January & coordinately attacked the State in many locations, from Aleppo to Idlib to Raqqah to Deir ez-Zour, but it all backfired on them wa lilLahil hamd. (Read more here: the-journey-of-the-sahwat-part-1/ & the-journey-of-sahwat-part-2/)

Since the betrayal of 3rd January, the kufr of Sahwat only increased: It started with alliances with Democrats such as groups affiliated with Syrian National Coalition (SMC) against the State, to alliances with the atheists Kurds & apostates of PKK & YPG.

Muhammad Alloush, Jaish al Islams political leader & Abu Issa, Ahrar ash-Shams military leader has explicitly announced their groups approval of Kufr Laws, that if the Syrian people choose a Democratic State or a Secular State, they will accept it. (See here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SBs0rr-Pog0 & "Journey of Sahwat part 1")

Their alliances didn't stop with Democrats & atheists, but it upgraded to an alliance with the Secular Turkey & eventually with the Crusader Coalition that is led by USA that began September 2014, which today includes 60+ kufr countries that is fighting Islam & Muslims & this is happening right now as I write this in north Aleppo countryside & south Syria in the countryside of Daraa.

Apart from their own public statements confirming this, Jowlani himself confirmed it & why his Jabha left the northern Aleppo front: (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zq_uohZH05M) "An operation room was created & the groups entered this room under the supervision of Turkey & the International Coalition".

Groups that entered this operation room that an US general of the International Crusader Coalition, named "Vetted Syrian Opposition" (VSO) are: Ahrar ash-Sham, Jaish al Islam, Jabha Shammiyah & many small groups affiliated with the Free Syrian Army (FSA).

In December 2015, the biggest groups (those named above) traveled to Riyadh in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to attend to a meeting before the Geneva meetings. In that meeting all the groups signed an agreement that the rule of the future Syria would be a secular democratic Syria only for Syrians, respecting all the religions & sects. Kufr upon Kufr.

All of these kufriyat & you may ask, why are they still calling them Mujahideen while those who kills them & makes takfir on them they call khawarij? They may say, because they may takfir on Jabhat al Nusra as well!

Has it not been established for you yet that the one who allies with kuffar against the Muslims is a kafir? & even if Jabhat al Nusra had ijtihadat & has ta'wil

(misinterpretation) that those factions are still Muslims, they know that what these factions are fighting for (democracy) is Kufr & they are giving their life for those factions, protecting them, fighting for them, dying for them, for them to have a foothold in Syria. So they are not only aiding the kuffar against the Muslims of the State, they are aiding the Kufr & people of Democracy over the Sharia & people of Islam.

If you are sincere with Allah & need more proof, evidences or other stuff to refute your doubts about Haqq, you can contact me on Twitter.

For the moment my account is (https://twitter.com/MF553klashi), if i'm suspended in the future you can find my account with the name "Mustafa al Iraqi" & if you have a hard time finding me you can always find me at Telegram: (https://telegram.me/mustafaklash).

I ask Allah to show everyone who have doubts the path to the Truth. May Allah keep us firm upon the Truth & not let the whims & desires overtake us or the love for a group make us fall into darkness, or the misguidance or Shaykh Fulan or Mr Fulan effect our judgement in the Quran & Sunnah, Allahuma amin.

والصلاة والسلام على نبينا محمد وعلى آله وصحبه أجمعين وآخر دعوانا ان الحمد لله رب العالمين